

## Master's Program in High Performance Sport: Strength and Conditioning Evaluation Survey of Module [insert module number and title]

## Dear Student,

UCAM has launched a process of evaluation of the teaching activities of its faculty. This survey is one of the tools that will be used in this evaluation process. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey. Your opinion is very important to us. Your rating should be based on your own personal perception and not of other student's opinions. Please rate your level of agreement with the following items below, with:

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, and 4 = Strongly agree.

m			Rating			
The course materials (objectives, activities, literature, criteria and evaluation system, etc.) provided by the professors were easily accessible and useful.	1	2	3	4		
The planned activities (theoretical, practical, individual and or group work, etc.) were related to what the professor expected us to learn from the module content.	1	2	3	4		
In class, there were no overlaps with content of other activities or unnecessary repetitions.	1	2	3	4		
Theoretical and practical tasks were properly coordinated in the module.	1	2	3	4		
The number of credits assigned to the module was in proportion to the volume of course content and related tasks.	1	2	3	4		
The amount of dedication required in the module corresponded to what was expected in the program.	1	2	3	4		
The professors adjusted the module content depending on the level of prior knowledge of students.	1	2	3	4		
The professors were well-prepared and organized in class (or laboratory, workshop, field work, seminar, etc.).	1	2	3	4		
The professors clearly explained and highlighted important content and concepts during the module.	1	2	3	4		
The professors clarified any confusion that may have occurred during lecture and helped guide students in their knowledge development.	1	2	3	4		
I found it easy to access the professors during office hours.	1	2	3	4		
The help I received during office hours was effective in my learning and understanding of the module content.	1	2	3	4		
	The course materials (objectives, activities, literature, criteria and evaluation system, etc.) provided by the professors were easily accessible and useful.  The planned activities (theoretical, practical, individual and or group work, etc.) were related to what the professor expected us to learn from the module content.  In class, there were no overlaps with content of other activities or unnecessary repetitions.  Theoretical and practical tasks were properly coordinated in the module.  The number of credits assigned to the module was in proportion to the volume of course content and related tasks.  The amount of dedication required in the module corresponded to what was expected in the program.  The professors adjusted the module content depending on the level of prior knowledge of students.  The professors were well-prepared and organized in class (or laboratory, workshop, field work, seminar, etc.).  The professors clearly explained and highlighted important content and concepts during the module.  The professors clarified any confusion that may have occurred during lecture and helped guide students in their knowledge development.  I found it easy to access the professors during office hours.  The help I received during office hours was effective in my learning and	The course materials (objectives, activities, literature, criteria and evaluation system, etc.) provided by the professors were easily accessible and useful.  The planned activities (theoretical, practical, individual and or group work, etc.) were related to what the professor expected us to learn from the module content.  In class, there were no overlaps with content of other activities or unnecessary repetitions.  Theoretical and practical tasks were properly coordinated in the module.  The number of credits assigned to the module was in proportion to the volume of course content and related tasks.  The amount of dedication required in the module corresponded to what was expected in the program.  The professors adjusted the module content depending on the level of prior knowledge of students.  The professors were well-prepared and organized in class (or laboratory, workshop, field work, seminar, etc.).  The professors clearly explained and highlighted important content and concepts during the module.  The professors clarified any confusion that may have occurred during lecture and helped guide students in their knowledge development.  I found it easy to access the professors during office hours.  1 The help I received during office hours was effective in my learning and	The course materials (objectives, activities, literature, criteria and evaluation system, etc.) provided by the professors were easily accessible and useful.  The planned activities (theoretical, practical, individual and or group work, etc.) were related to what the professor expected us to learn from the module content.  In class, there were no overlaps with content of other activities or unnecessary repetitions.  Theoretical and practical tasks were properly coordinated in the module.  The number of credits assigned to the module was in proportion to the volume of course content and related tasks.  The amount of dedication required in the module corresponded to what was expected in the program.  The professors adjusted the module content depending on the level of prior knowledge of students.  The professors were well-prepared and organized in class (or laboratory, workshop, field work, seminar, etc.).  The professors clearly explained and highlighted important content and concepts during the module.  The professors clarified any confusion that may have occurred during lecture and helped guide students in their knowledge development.  I found it easy to access the professors during office hours.  The help I received during office hours was effective in my learning and	The course materials (objectives, activities, literature, criteria and evaluation system, etc.) provided by the professors were easily accessible and useful.  The planned activities (theoretical, practical, individual and or group work, etc.) were related to what the professor expected us to learn from the module content.  In class, there were no overlaps with content of other activities or unnecessary repetitions.  Theoretical and practical tasks were properly coordinated in the module.  The number of credits assigned to the module was in proportion to the volume of course content and related tasks.  The amount of dedication required in the module corresponded to what was expected in the program.  The professors adjusted the module content depending on the level of prior knowledge of students.  The professors were well-prepared and organized in class (or laboratory, workshop, field work, seminar, etc.).  The professors clearly explained and highlighted important content and concepts during the module.  The professors clarified any confusion that may have occurred during lecture and helped guide students in their knowledge development.  I found it easy to access the professors during office hours.  The help I received during office hours was effective in my learning and		

Academic Year 2013/2014 1



13.	The professors used appropriate teaching resources to facilitate learning (visual, laboratory, field, etc.)	1	2	3	4
14.	References recommended by the professors were useful in performing assignments and or individual/group tasks.	1	2	3	4
15.	The professors encouraged student participation during the module (facilitate classroom interaction, etc.)	1	2	3	4
16.	The professors sparked interest in the various topics addressed in the module.	1	2	3	4
17.	The evaluation method (exams, individual or group work, etc.) was appropriate for the type of learning (theoretical, practical, individual, group, etc.) presented in the module.	1	2	3	4
18.	The professors applied in an adequate manner the assessment criteria for the program.	1	2	3	4
19.	The professors facilitated my learning and, as a result, I have improved my knowledge, my skills and or how to address certain issues.	1	2	3	4
20.	Overall, my knowledge base has increased since the start of the module.	1	2	3	4
21.	Overall, I am satisfied with the how the professors taught the module.	1	2	3	4
22.	I am satisfied with the teachings provided by Professor	1	2	3	4

**Comments**: Please provide any additional feedback with regard to the module and or the professors (you can use the back of a sheet, if needed):

Adapted from "Survey students about teaching activity" in DOCENTIA (support program for the evaluation of teaching of university teachers). Guidelines for the development of the evaluation procedure. ANECA (2007). (http://www.aneca.es/var/media/215773/docentia\_orientaciones\_070302.pdf. Accessed 3/11/2011)

Academic Year 2013/2014